



Open VSX Working Group Steering Committee - December 19, 2023

Agenda

| Agenda Topics | Who | Minutes |
|---|------------|---------|
| Welcome and quorum | Hans | 2 |
| Review and approve <u>minutes</u> from December 5 | Hans | 5 |
| First RFP out for workgroup funded development • Program Definition • RFP 2242 | John | 5 |
| Reliability and Response Time Discussion | John | 15 |
| Open VSX Working Group Questions About Development and Deployment | Kostiantyn | 10 |
| Planning Committee Formation Note: The planning committee may bring in additional people with the knowledge required to address a certain topic. | Hans | 5 |

Number of Seats 6 / Quorum is 50% of Committee / Passing a resolution is > 50%

Steering Committee Members:

| \checkmark | Google |
|--------------|-------------------|
| | ☑ Thomas DeMeo |
| | Femi Akinde |
| | Huawei |
| | Yawei Wang |
| | ☐ Denis Denisenko |
| | Posit Software |



 \checkmark

| ☐ Tracy Teal |
|--|
| Salesforce |
| ☐ Stephanie Maddox |
| ☐ Karen Fidalek |
| Siemens |
| ☐ Rainer Schiekofer/Johannes Birkenstock |
| ☐ Jacob Hilsebein |
| STMicroelectronics |
| ✓ Hans-Åke Gustafsson |

Eclipse Foundation:

☑ Kostiantyn Kirenko

| \checkmark | John Kellerman |
|--------------|-------------------|
| | Thabang Mashologu |
| | Clark Roundy |

☐ Sharon Corbett

Minutes Taker Schedule:

| May 23, 2023 | ST | Sep 12, 2023 | Huawei |
|--------------|---------|--------------------------|------------|
| Jun 6, 2023 | Google | Sep 26, 2023 | ST |
| Jun 27, 2023 | Posit | Oct 17, 2023 | Posit |
| | | Oct 24, 2023 CANCELED | Google |
| Jul 12, 2023 | JohnK | Nov 7, 2023 | Siemens |
| | | Nov 21, 2023 | Huawei |
| Aug 15, 2023 | Siemens | Dec 5, 2023 | Salesforce |



| Aug 29, 2023 | Salesforce | Dec 19, 2023 | ST |
|--------------|------------|--------------|----|

Minutes 12/19/23

- 3 companies were presented from 6, there is a quorum.
- The meeting minutes from <u>December 5th 2023 meeting</u> were approved anonymously
- [John] pesented the Program Definition document (see the link above)
 - o It was mentioned that RFP is published
 - There is a bid for RFP from Aart van Baren
 - There are no other bids at the moment
 - [John] propose to publish the bid without sensitive or personal details to be available for the committee
 - o [John] proposed to start with a contract preparation
 - [Thomas] mentioned that it is the end of the year and it is not the best time to expect people to be reactive enough. The proposition is to extend the bid period for January 2024 as well
 - [John] mentioned that without the existing contract there might be problems if there is any emergency with the service in January
 - [John] proposed to extend the existing contract to January to cover any emergency issues. The proposition was accepted
- [John] presented Reliability and Response Time Discussion document (see the link above)
 - Different figures showing the services uptime and their history were presented
 - Other services SLA were mentioned
 - o [John] mentioned that there is a code quality which is the problem
 - [Kostiantyn] argued that there is no evidence which points to the code quality for the August's incident. There might be something with the deployment also
 - [John] noted that the deployment scripts are considered to be a part of code and the problem could also be there
 - [Thomas] mentioned that Google is looking internally for the best ways to support the service
 - [John] noted the most important summary: Eclipse Foundation doesn't have enough funds to improve the service SLA to 99.99%, for example
 - [Kostiantyn] mentioned that ST Microelectronics has already started to spend resources for the service improvements. People started to look at the service's source code.
 - STM proposes others to join the activity



- [Kostiantyn] presented a document with Open VSX Working Group Questions about Development and Deployment
 - The others are very welcome to add more questions to the document
 - The idea is to have answers to the question in some form. Maybe written answers, but better is to have a meeting with Development/Deployment teams of the service
 - o [John] mentioned that it is good to have questions and that we will proceed with them
- [Hans-Åke] presented a Planning Committee and proposed people to sign in into it
 - The idea is to have 2-3 people in the committee
 - [Kostiantyn] mentioned that he is already busy with similar tasks for the service and so will gladly participate in the committee
- EoM. Merry Christmas and Happy New Year for everybody!