

Open VSX Working Group Steering Committee - August 29, 2023

Agenda

| Agenda Topics | Who | Minutes |
|--|---------------------|---------|
| Welcome and quorum | Hans | 2 |
| Welcome Salesforce alternate representative | Stephanie, Karen | 2 |
| Review and approve minutes from August 16 meeting | Hans | 5 |
| Aug 16 & Aug 17 outages: what it was and what to do with it? | Kostiantyn | 15 |
| Review and vote on 2023-2024 Program Plan Program Plan - Draft PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR INPUT IN ADVANCE – VOTING WILL TAKE PLACE DURING THE MEETING | Hans | 30 |

Number of Seats 6 / Quorum is 50% of Committee / Passing a resolution is > 50%

Steering Committee Members:

- Google
 - Thomas DeMeo
 - Femi Akinde
- Huawei
 - Yawei Wang
 - Denis Denisenko
- Posit Software
 - Tom Mock
 - Tracy Teal
- Salesforce



- Stephanie Maddox
- Karen Fidalek
- Siemens
 - Rainer Schiekofer/Johannes Birkenstock
 - Jacob Hlsebein
- STMicroelectronics
 - Hans-Åke Gustafsson
 - Kostiantyn Kirenko

Eclipse Foundation:

- John Kellerman
- Thabang Mashologu
- Clark Roundy
- Sharon Corbett

Minutes Taker Schedule:

| | | | |
|--------------|---------|--------------|------------|
| May 23, 2023 | ST | Sep 12, 2023 | Salesforce |
| Jun 6, 2023 | Google | Sep 26, 2023 | ST |
| Jun 27, 2023 | Posit | Oct 10, 2023 | Google |
| | | Oct 24, 2023 | Posit |
| Jul 12, 2023 | JohnK | Nov 7, 2023 | Siemens |
| | | Nov 21, 2023 | Huawei |
| Aug 15, 2023 | Siemens | Dec 5, 2023 | Salesforce |
| Aug 29, 2023 | Huawei | Dec 19, 2023 | ST |

Action Items:

- Refine social media channels (for future SC call - as part of program plan discussion):
 - a. https://app.gitter.im/#/room/#eclipse_openvsx:gitter.im
 - b. @openvsx on Twitter
 - c. @openvsx@mastodon.social on Mastodon
 - d. Future Slack channel
- Comeback on how open-vsx.org operates
 - a. Servers topology
 - b. How the solutions scales
 - c. How monitoring is done
 - d. How performance issues are investigated
 - e. Top X known issues
- Update prior minutes to correct the date and reflect August 15th date
- Update Notetake schedule to change Sept 12th to Huawei and August 29th to Salesforce

Agenda Parking Lot

- August 16th outage - need to discuss this when John is present to understand what happened and why it took so long to recover. Agenda item for the next meeting
- Program Plan - Vision (slide 4) - Sequence into priority order with highest importance at the top
- Baseline meeting - Need to understand the baseline on where we stand on each initiative
 - a. Need to understand Deployment process and hosting structure in more detail
 - b. One example - Monitoring and alerting - What is in place today? How does what we have in place today compare to other Eclipse products? (Goal is to define a measure of this item)

Minutes:

- Agenda 0 - notetaker - need to swap Salesforce to today; Huawei will take the next one
- Agenda 1
 - Update minutes from last time to the correct date (August 15th)
 - No objections; minutes are approved
- Agenda 2 - Outage on August 16th - Kosiantyo
 - 26 hours of API offline - Reason why?
 - John was not present, Clark doesn't have more info
 - Slack post in channels from Aug 17th

- Two concerns:
 - Outage timeline
 - Time to respond in slack
- Agenda 3 - Welcome to Karen from Salesforce
 - Welcome to Salesforce alternate - Karen Fidelak, Sr Dir Developer Experience and Products within Platform Tooling.
 - Based in Colorado (USA)
- Agenda 4 - 2023-2024 Program Plan
 - John updated to be 2023-2024 (instead of just 2023) - ok with all
 - Overall spirit is to align on the topics - we will continue to clickdown and define further details (such as the measures)
 - Overall question: How will these initiatives be executed with engineering?
 - Clark - This group will prioritize and John will then make sure it's properly resourced on Eclipse.
 - We define priorities and then align resource/budget and timeline reality with John.
 - Execution process - some mapped to Github, but not all. Need more details from John on execution.
 - After we establish the plan, need to then understand baselines for each and define the specific requirements
 - Need more information on how deployments happen to inform the initiatives
 - Is the initial funding sufficient to reach the minimum level on these initiatives? We need a baseline meeting (parking lot topic)
 - Slides 2-4 from original draft - no updates on these from the group
 - Slide 4 - Vision - Are these in priority order?
 - Not currently - action item for next meeting.
 - Add note to the slide to clarify that it is not in priority order (done)
 - Slide 5 - Concluded that the Program Plan Priorities are in priority order (relative to each other - all are important)
 - Thomas - What does success look like? What metric are we aiming for? How will we measure "improve" statements?
 - Clark - Goal of this slide is to stay high-level and dive into details and specifics on further slides
 - Slide 6 - Reliability and response time
 - Thomas - "Improve" bullet points need something measurable defined
 - Clark - Not aware of another mark from other eclipse products to drive this. Should be defined by this group
 - Need to understand where Open VSX is at today and how it compares to other Eclipse products
 - Must be mindful of budget - need to align goals with what we can achieve
 - Slide 7 - Security and Integrity

- Similar discussion - what is the baseline? How do we compare to other eclipse products and industry standards? What is an achievable level of improvement? And how will we measure?
- Slide 8 - Promotion
 - Channels - Do we want to promote on twitter or elsewhere? Need to define which channels
- Slide 9 - Functionality
 - Parity - What is the lag time? Need to define the standard on how closely we will update to maintain parity
 - Budget caution: New features could be too expensive for us to build
 - There could be features Microsoft releases that only serve them - might choose not to implement those
 - By default, we will maintain parity unless we make a conscious decision together to deviate
 - Operationalize this by looking at new features regularly and voting if any shouldn't be implemented. (note added to the slide; perhaps quarterly cadence?)
- VOTE:
 - [Clark] This is a living document and we can continue to evolve and change as we see fit. Once approved, it will be submitted to the foundation and then we can determine how to allocate budget against the priorities.
 - Process:
 - Accept as high-level plan
 - Submit to foundation to make current plan official
 - Define further details and metrics for initiatives
 - No objections. **Program Plan Accepted** 🎉