## MicroProfile Steering Committee Meeting - June 8th, 2021

## Rules

- 1. DO NOT EDIT AGENDA. This is the responsibility of the meeting moderator with input from the steering committee and community. Agenda items should be discussed in the mailing list/forum or in prior Steering Committee meetings first.
- 2. Prefer comments occur in mailing lists/forums.
- 3. Document editing is enabled for community to add themselves to list of community contributors during call

Tweeted pic <u>here</u>, recording <u>here</u>, meeting minutes folder <u>here</u>

Meeting Attendees		
<u>Steering Committee</u> (Quorum = 6)	<u>Community</u> (Name, organization)	
Atlanta JUG - Summers Pittman, Vincent Mayers Fujitsu - Kenji Kazamura IBM - Kevin Sutter, Emily Jiang iJUG - Jan Westerkamp Jelastic - Ruslan Synytsky, Tetiana Fydorenchyk Garden State JUG - Chandra Guntur, Michael Redlich, Barry Burd Oracle - Ed Bratt, Dmitry Kornilov Payara - Rudy De Busscher Red Hat - John Clingan, Roberto Cortez Tomitribe - David Blevins, Amelia Eiras Committer Member: Edwin Derks  Eclipse Foundation Paul Buck Sharon Corbett Paul White Ivar Grimstad Tanja Obradovic	<ul> <li>Martin Stefanko (Red Hat)</li> <li>Scott Stark (Red Hat)</li> <li>Neha Sardana (GSJUG)</li> <li>Emerson Castaneda</li> </ul>	

Agenda Item	Minutes
Past meeting minutes approval	Approved via microprofile-wg email thread.
Business since last Steering Committee Meeting	
	Patent Implementation Ballot started FYI only, no planned discussion. Link to vote thread  MicroProfile 2021 Budget Discussion  Eclipse Foundation will deliver a budget presentation. Budget Governance, the first half of the presentation was recorded. Sharon, EMO, asked for the 2nd portion of the presentation, Budget discussion & approval not to be recorded.  Additional material:  • Working MicroProfile 6/8/21 Budget slides • Early_Draft Budget (3/21) • Working MicroProfile Budget supporting spreadsheet  Question on existing vendor relationships came up and how it relates to EMO managing vendor relationships. EF has vendor relationships and MicroProfile members have vendor relationships. If established relationships are cost effective, the intent is to continue using those vendors. The desire is for those MP vendors to work with the EF directly.  For money already spent on program plan items, the EF will work with MP SC to reimburse SC members that funded the 2021 program plan items. Future reimbursements, to Members executing the work, are possible if within the budget and approved by the WG.  Question was brought up on ~\$1K difference between EF marketing budget and MicroProfile Marketing assumed budget. Agreed to take offline or discuss after presentation.  Question on \$40K cost of compatibility program. Will be covered in more detail on Monday's Marketing call. The \$40K will be adjusted based on the work done by the MicroProfile community.
	Question on using Google AdWords for marketing. Briefly covered that the Marketing team came up with the budgeted amount.
	Agreed to "collaborate/discuss" the \$40K trademark allocated spend when the time comes.
	Platform spec defines compatibility rules. If certification applies to

	individual/component specs, then additional trademark work needs to be done.
	Clarification of ongoing vs one-time costs needs to be stated within the budget. Plan for a budget-ready resolution next Tuesday.
Next Steering Committee call	See MicroProfile Calendar
Parking Lot	Compatibility Certification Request Format