

May 3, 2022

Agenda Topics	Moderator	Minutes
Approve Minutes of April 19, 2022 Meeting	Pradeep	5
Finalize Chair for 2022	All	5
Update on Engagement Discussion (More commits, contributions, sponsors, members) See Epic here	All	10
Update from Ed	Ed	10
Host a community call (2H of May or 1H of June) - June 14th	All	5
Reminder - Update SC members list (Point to Eclipse.org Account Users)	All	5
Reminder - Update on IDE Market Share 2017 Slides , see issue #5	Paul	5
Update on IDE WG Development Efforts Initiative GitLab Board and (Weekly Minutes)	Sharon/Paul	5
Topics for Next Meeting EclipseCon Community Day (In Person Conference) 1. Finalize the agenda for June 14th Community Day 2. Quarterly Financial Update Process	All	5

Martin Lippert - Responsible for Minutes for this meeting

Attendees

Steering Committee Members:

Number of Seats 9 / Quorum is 50% of Committee / Passing a resolution is > 50%

- **Pradeep Balachandran (IBM) / Gerald Mitchell (IBM)**
- **Sebastian Ratz (SAP) / Yannic Soethoff (SAP)**
- **Manuel Bork (Yatta) / Frederic Ebelshäuser (Yatta)**
- **Jonah Graham (Planning Council Chair)**
- **Mark Goodchild (Renesas)**
- Abdul Rahiman Imran (Bosch)
- **Johannes Matheis (Vector)**
- **Martin Lippert (VMware - Participant Representative)**

- **Andrey Loskutov** (Committer Member Representative) left call at 9:01 AM Eastern

Supporter Members:

- Remi Schnekenburger (EclipseSource)

Other Attendees:

- **Paul Buck (Eclipse Foundation)**
- **Sharon Corbett (Eclipse Foundation)**
- Ed Merks (Eclipse Foundation, Sim Rel Engineer)
- Mélanie Bats (Planning Council Observer)
- Shanda Giacomoni (Eclipse Foundation)

Minutes

Welcome to Gerald Mitchell (IBM) to the call - architect for IBM Developer for zOS (based on Eclipse), introducing himself to the group

Thomas Watson is no longer the alternate for Pradeep, Gerald replaces Thomas for the SC

Approve Minutes of April 19, 2022 Meeting

Motion: Approve Minutes of April 19th 2022. Martin moves and Manuel seconds. No objection to unanimous approval.

RESOLVED, the Steering Committee unanimously approved the Minutes of April 19th 2022 on May 3rd, 2022

Finalize Chair for 2022

Pradeep asks Mark to take over the chair position for the SC.

Motion: Approve Mark as the chair of the SC. Johan moves, Sebastian seconds. No objections to the unanimous approval.

RESOLVED, the Steering Committee unanimously approved Mark Goodchild as the chair of the Steering Committee from the next meeting onwards.

Update on Engagement Discussion (More commits, contributions, sponsors, members)

Pradeep mentioned the anniversary blog post from Mike.

Ed suggests to post a link to the blog post from Mike about the WG anniversary to the WG mailing list.

Pradeep discusses WG epic 10 about improvements for the WG website and community engagements ([LINK](#))

Pradeep: no recording available from the EclipseCon Community Day session, so need to find a different way to share the story from Gayan from last EclipseCon (about how he became a committer)

Pradeep: would like to encourage everybody to join the discussion

Mark: how could we engage as a company (e.g. from Renesas)? Often we find action items that a few people do. How can we motivate companies that are already members to contribute? Is there more that big companies can do?

Ed: suggests to not only report bugs, but trying to fix it and contribute the fix back

Mark: good idea, they could probably contribute back quite easily that way

Pradeep: do we need a community hackathon or something like that?

Mark: I think that might be useful but in our case the team needs the guidance and motivation to just do that.

Gerald: agree, tries to push teams towards not just reporting bugs, but also trying to fix things and contributing fixes back.

Gerald: backporting fixes to old versions is difficult, they have a procedure for how to do that. Wouldn't it be interesting to have a public procedure to make fixes for older versions of Eclipse available to the community or users of older versions?

Pradeep: interesting topic, reminds him of the LTS efforts back in the days. We could take this as a work item for the WG. Currently, there is no concept of LTS at Eclipse itself.

Mark: We don't have enough resources to work even on the latest version, not to mention old versions for LTS.

Pradeep: Yes, we would need at least three member companies being interested in this to move this forward, but could be interesting.

Pradeep: Continues on epic 10, classifying open issues takes time that is hard to find.

Pradeep: Call out to the WG members to engage in the epic. Identify concrete action items for what to update on the website with a target date of Tuesday next week (May 10) - Pradeep volunteers to create issues for WebDev for things mentioned in the GitLab issue (epic 10).

Update from Ed

Ed: posted updates in the WG mailing list:

Help ensure that Tycho 2.7.1 was released to be able to build products containing PGP signatures. Help Jonah get this working for EPP for 2022-06 M1.

Help ensure that all the Eclipse TLP setups continue to function during the migration to Github which is now complete.

<https://github.com/eclipse-platform/>

<https://github.com/eclipse-equinox/>

<https://github.com/eclipse-pde/>

<https://github.com/eclipse-jdt/>

<https://github.com/eclipse-platform/.github/blob/main/CONTRIBUTING.md#create-an-eclipse-development-environment>

The Platform is moving away from reusing Orbit but rather directly consuming from Maven. Such bundles are all reported in the Signing PGP Keys section of the report:

<https://download.eclipse.org/oomph/archive/reports/download.eclipse.org/eclipse/updates/4.24-I-builds/index.html>

The approach is somewhat problematic for downstream projects and makes it more difficult (or at least different) to coordinate multiple versions. We'll see what happens with org.objectweb.asm, for example; we hope that we don't end up with 9.2 and 9.3. I've helped preempt problems to eliminate version 9.1. I have concerns in general with *.source bundles disappearing with the new approach and I followed up with Equinox to ensure that the direct-from-Maven OSGi framework bundles include corresponding source in both the repo and the SDK.

<https://download.eclipse.org/oomph/archive/reports/download.eclipse.org/staging/2022-06/index.html>

Refine the analysis tool to make the dependencies easier understand and to track down.

Create a sample that shows how to create a p2 repository from maven dependencies to understand how this process works. Perhaps Orbit could provide such a service so that downstream projects are less disrupted by the changes. E.g., a proper p2 repo for Jetty would be nice. The m2e extensions for PDE target location support seem poorly documented and the Platform has not the resource to downstream

projects cope. Help Christian Deitrich (Xtext) to ensure that Xtext is able to consume the 9.3 version of org.objectweb.asm .

The new version of sat4j caused problems with testing the marketplace listings that needed attention.

<https://ci.eclipse.org/oomph/job/marketplace-analyzer/>

RCPTT's stale contribution caused problems while the new one has unsigned content.

https://bugs.eclipse.org/bugs/show_bug.cgi?id=579808

Build JustJ 18 JREs so that folks can test; but there doesn't seem so much interest given it's not an LTS version.

(end of update from the mailing list)

Host a community call

Pradeep: Suggests to have a community all in the second half of May or early June. What about turning the regular SC call into an open community call? So we have the already well scheduled slot.

Ed: likes the idea to use the same slot.

Johan: Lets align this with the June release, e.g. June 14, 2022

Pradeep: Then we could do June 14, 2022 or June 28, 2022 - for one hour

(short discussion about it)

Decision: June 14, 2022 for one hour, details to be discussed in the next SC meeting

Reminder - Update SC members [list](#) (Point to Eclipse.org Account Users)

Pradeep: Did anybody make an attempt?

Sebastian: tried, but failed to edit the page

Sharon: there was a glitch, should be fixed now, please try again

Johannes: yes, seems to work again, I tried

Sebastian: verified, works again

Pradeep: asks Gerald to do some updates

Reminder - Update on [IDE Market Share 2017 Slides](#), see issue [#5](#)

Pradeep: Paul provided a bunch of links to get up-to-date data. What should we do about it? Prepare a deck?

Paul: unclear where to open an issue in GitLab

(widely shared confusion about where to open issues, structure in GitLab a bit unclear)

Agreed to create a public issue in the eclipseide.org subproject to call out to the community to gather more information about IDE market share stats

Update on IDE WG Development Efforts Initiative ([Weekly Minutes](#))

Paul: provides a quick overview of the funding guidelines

Paul: provides a quick update of the issues that we have on the dev funding items

Paul: working on dark mode topic + chromium

Paul: Chromium: waiting for a proposal

Dark mode: in the contract process phase with an independent contractor on a time and materials base

Paul: Recommendation is to move forward with the independent contractor (1 day a week, for 12 weeks, get as much done as possible). Would roughly take $\frac{1}{3}$ of the available dev funding budget.

Pradeep: Do we have enough budget left for the Chromium support?

Paul: still trying to figure that out

Manuel: will contribute Chromium support for free

Pradeep/Paul: awesome!!! :-)

Topics for Next Meeting

Pradeep will take minutes next time (May 17)
