



What is the origin of the code? See Figures 1 through 6 below



Re-Use of Eclipse Project or Written 100% by Written 100% by Submitting Re-Use of Non-Written 100% by employees Everything Else *Plug-in* (Orbit excluded) or Submitting Committer Contributor (Non-Committer) Eclipse Content of the same employer as the This includes: (See Details on Page 4) bundle that has undergone a or Committer on same Submitting Committer. (a) and Submitted under the 1) Initial contributions to a project release review. Project under the terms of the *Project License* under the supervision of the Figure 5 2) Code containing cryptography (See Details on Page 4) supervision of the PMC (typically EPL) *PMC*; and (b) where the 3) Non-Eclipse content (Definition Page 4) (See Definitions on Page 4) (See Definitions on Page 4) employer has signed a Figure 4 4) Code developed by non-Member Committer Figure 1 committers, where workflow from Agreement. Figure 2 or Figure 3 leads to Figure Figure 3 (See Definitions on Page 4) 13 5) Re-use of Orbit components Figure 2 yes yes yes yes yes Figure 6



Do NOT submit to the repository yet. Fill out a Contribution Questionnaire on Committer Tools and include the IPBug reference under which the original approval was granted. This creates an IPZilla Bug that will enable you to monitor the Contribution and discuss it with the EMO and Community. Figure 14 A Member of your PMC must vote +1 on the IPBug related to the Contribution. Figure 15 The Foundation will approve the Contribution on the related IPZilla Bug. The Contribution is now recorded in IPZilla for tracking purposes and will appear in your auto-generated IP Log http://wiki.eclipse.org/Developm ent_Resources/Automatic_IP_L <u>og</u> Figure 16

See Page 3



IP POLICY CHANGE Third Party Dependency Pre-Requisites Only

Eclipse Projects now have a choice in the level of IP Review required for redistribution of Third Party Dependencies as defined in [1]:

- Type A – License Compatibility Review Only New

- **Type B** – Full Review (License Compatibility, Provenance Check, Code Scanned for Anomalies)

The option is available to all projects when creating a CQ for Third Party Pre-Requisites

Project Leads may designate Type A for B via the PMI

New Projects onboarding will receive Type A IP review of its Third Party Pre-Requisites in order to get the project up and running quickly. Projects may request change to Type B reviews at a later date.

[1] <u>https://eclipse.org/org/documents/Eclipse_IP_Policy.pdf</u>







Do NOT submit to the repository yet. Fill out a Contribution Questionnaire on Committer Tools. This creates an IPZilla Bug that will enable you to monitor the Contribution and discuss it with the EMO and

Definitions:

"Project License" – your default Project license will be the EPL. Any other licensing strategy requires a unanimous vote of the Eclipse Board of Directors.

"Non-Eclipse Content" – any code maintained on servers other than those of the Eclipse Foundation.

"Under Supervision of the PMC" – refers to general supervision; sufficient to ensure the code being submitted is in line with the goals of the project from a technical standpoint. This level of supervision may vary by project. Determination is to be made by the relevant PMC of the project.

"Submitting Committer" – An Eclipse committer on the project at the time of development. Code developed prior to becoming an Eclipse committer requires due diligence review.

Third Party Dependencies:

Does your project work with or depend on other third party content? Please consult the Eclipse Third Party Dependency Policy: http://www.eclipse.org/org/documents/Eclipse_Policy_and_Procedure_for_3rd_Party_Dependencies_Final.pdf

And our Test and Build Resources: http://wiki.eclipse.org/Development_Resources/IP/Test_and_Build_Dependencies

Moving Code to Eclipse:

Interested in moving code from somewhere else to Eclipse and maintaining it at Eclipse? Contact <u>emo@eclipse.org</u>

Distributing Eclipse Projects, Plug-Ins & Bundles – Guidelines:

<u>Release Candidate Distributions</u> *must not contain* Non-Release Candidate (e.g. not "RC1" or final release "1.0") distributions from other Eclipse Projects as such releases may contain non-reviewed and approved content.

<u>Release Candidate Distributions</u> *may pre-req* Non-Release Candidate (e.g. not "RC1" or final release "1.0") distributions from other Eclipse Projects provided the downstream consumer is made aware that the content that is being pre-req'd may contain non-reviewed and approved content.

Non-Release Candidate Distributions *may contain* Non-Release Candidate (e.g. not "RC1" or final release "1.0") distributions from other Eclipse Projects.

Non-Release Candidate Distributions *may pre-req* Non-Release Candidate (e.g. not "RC1" or final release "1.0") distributions from other Eclipse Projects.

<u>Simultaneous Release</u>: All Projects participating in the Release Candidate for the simultaneous release must be Release Candidate themselves. The above guidelines apply to any Project wishing to pre-req or incorporate other Eclipse Projects.

IP Process Questions:

Please contact emo-ip-team@eclipse.org